

## COM814 Project – Final Marking Sheet 2015-16

Student:

David Gleghorne

Date:

8/9/16

Examiner:

Simon Fraser

2<sup>nd</sup> Marker

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">38</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							<p>A well developed and documented piece of software. The requirements were excellent and tested against to my satisfaction.</p> <p>A lot of thought had gone to the development of the software and this came through in the viva and demonstration.</p>
	• problem definition / requirements specification	X						
	• systematic approach to development	X						
	• testing process documented	X						
	<b>From Demonstration</b>							
	• robustness of software	X						
	• range of functionality	X						
	• data validation	X						
	• usability of HCI		X					
	• consistency with stated functionality of software		X					
• understanding of software features		X						
<b>From Viva</b>								
• understanding of software technology used	X							
• understanding of software features implemented		X						
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">14</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							<p>Dissertation was of a good standard in terms of writing and referencing.</p> <p>Though I would personally have liked to have seen key snippets of PHP and SQL statements explicitly discussed. The student answered the questions very well in the viva and demo.</p>
	• documentation structure and completeness		X					
	• readability		X					
	<b>From Demonstration</b>							
	• organized and structured	X						
	• response to questions	X						
	<b>From Viva</b>							
• composure & coherence	X							
• response to questions		X						
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">14</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							<p>The student was able to justify his choice and was aware what could be done to improve his work.</p> <p>It was a commendable effort all round.</p>
	• justification for decisions made throughout project	X						
	• awareness of related work & technologies		X					
	• thoroughness of evaluation process		X					
	<b>From Viva</b>							
• ability to discuss limitations of work	X							
• discuss potential improvements	X							

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<b>Professional Engagement (10%)</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">9</div>	<b>From Supervisor</b>							
	• took initiative as appropriate							
	• met regularly with supervisor							
	• responded to suggestions							
• kept satisfactory project log								
<b>Total (100%)</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">75</div>	<b>Agreed Total</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">78</div>	<b>Scaled (70%)</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">54.6</div>		<b>Earlier Components (30%)</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">24</div>			<b>Overall Mark</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">79</div>	
<b>Overall Comments</b>  It's a good piece of software backed up by a thorough and well written report. The student is be to commended on what he has achieved.								