

COM814 Project – Final Marking Sheet 2015-16

Student:

Luke McIntyre

Date:

14/9/16

Examiner:

Simon Fraser

2nd Marker

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">20</div>	From Dissertation							The project did not meet its original goal. Student attendance could be not be recorder properly. Time management was a key issue in terms of development. And the database design was severally lacking. It's evident that the requirements were specced from the student and not from others.
	• problem definition / requirements specification					y		
	• systematic approach to development					y		
	• testing process documented				Y			
	From Demonstration							
	• robustness of software				Y			
	• range of functionality				Y			
	• data validation			Y				
	• usability of HCI			y				
	• consistency with stated functionality of software				Y			
• understanding of software features				Y				
From Viva								
• understanding of software technology used				Y				
• understanding of software features implemented				Y				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">10</div>	From Dissertation							Report needed better referencing and proof reading Questions were not answered well and the student was sometimes unsure of the subject. Presentation was acceptable.
	• documentation structure and completeness				Y			
	• readability			Y				
	From Demonstration							
	• organized and structured			y				
	• response to questions				y			
	From Viva							
	• composure & coherence			y				
• response to questions				y				
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: auto;">9</div>	From Dissertation							Fell down in this area slightly. More could have been done here.
	• justification for decisions made throughout project			y				
	• awareness of related work & technologies				y			
	• thoroughness of evaluation process				y			
	From Viva							
	• ability to discuss limitations of work		y					
• discuss potential improvements		y						

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
Professional Engagement (10%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">7</div>	From Supervisor							
	• took initiative as appropriate							
	• met regularly with supervisor							
	• responded to suggestions							
	• kept satisfactory project log							
Total (100%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">46</div>	Agreed Total <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">46</div>	Scaled (70%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">32.2</div>		Earlier Components (30%) <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">17.55</div>			Overall Mark <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">49.75</div>	
Overall Comments There was some functionality in the software but a lot more was needed,. Had the student engaged in the process better then various issues would have been mitigated.								