

## COM814 Project – Final Marking Sheet 2015-16

Student:

Ronan Lowry

Date:

13<sup>th</sup> September 2016

Examiner:

Simon Fraser

Supervisor

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">24</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							Lacking in functionality in terms of the end-user's requirements. But there the software did work.  More testing would have identified the basic nature of the system and how it could be improved. The database schema needed to be reworked to allow for scalability.
	• problem definition / requirements specification			y				
	• systematic approach to development			y				
	• testing process documented			y				
	<b>From Demonstration</b>							
	• robustness of software			y				
	• range of functionality				y			
	• data validation			y				
	• usability of HCI			y				
	• consistency with stated functionality of software				y			
• understanding of software features			y					
<b>From Viva</b>								
• understanding of software technology used			y					
• understanding of software features implemented			y					
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">12</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							The report was fine, no real issues with it.
	• documentation structure and completeness			y				
	• readability			y				Presentation and oral were conducted well.
	<b>From Demonstration</b>							
	• organized and structured			y				
	• response to questions			y				
	<b>From Viva</b>							
	• composure & coherence			y				
• response to questions			y					
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">11</div>	<b>From Dissertation</b>							Time management does seem to have been an issue here. And some things weren't thought through carefully
	• justification for decisions made throughout project			y				
	• awareness of related work & technologies			y				
	• thoroughness of evaluation process			y				
	<b>From Viva</b>							
	• ability to discuss limitations of work			y				
• discuss potential improvements			y					

Areas	Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Borderline	Fail	N/A	Commentary
<b>Professional Engagement (10%)</b>  <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">7</div>	<b>From Supervisor</b>							
	• took initiative as appropriate			y				
	• met regularly with supervisor			y				
	• responded to suggestions			y				
	• kept satisfactory project log			y				
<b>Total (100%)</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">54</div>	<b>Agreed Total</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">54</div>	<b>Scaled (70%)</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">37.8</div>		<b>Earlier Components (30%)</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">17.25</div>			<b>Overall Mark</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; text-align: center; line-height: 40px;">55.05</div>	
<b>Overall Comments</b>  The software did need more development to meet its original function. But the process was documented well.								